



**Sh. Dayal Singh, (9968237824)**

R/o Khasra No 350/2, Gali No 3, Block B,  
Uppkar Colony, Burari, Delhi-11084.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o EO, MC, Dhariwal,  
Distt Gurdaspur.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o EO, MC, Dhariwal,  
Distt Gurdaspur.

**Appeal Case No.981 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on	:	25-09-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	02-11-2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	30-12-2020

Present: Appellant: Sh. Dayal Singh  
Respondent: Sh. Sucha Singh (Clerk)

**ORDER:**

1. This order may be read in the continuity of previous order dated 25.05.2021.
2. The appellant states that he has received the complete information and has no objection, if the case is closed.
3. Since, the complete information has already been supplied to the appellant as per the submissions made by him, therefore, the Commission opines that no further action is required in this case. Hence, the case is disposed of and closed.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 13.07.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Charanjit Singh, (9814620586)**

S/o Sh. Jagtar Singh,  
R/o 19951/E, Street No 4/3, Jujhar Singh Nagar,  
Bathinda.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Director of Public Instruction (SE), Punjab,  
Mohali.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Director of Public Instruction (SE), Punjab,  
Mohali.

**Appeal Case No.984 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on	:	12-09-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	11-12-2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Balwinder Singh (Sr. Asst.), 9876385500

**ORDER:**

1. This order may be read in the continuity of previous order dated 25.05.2021 vide which the appellant was asked to point out deficiencies if any in the information so supplied by the appellant before the next date of hearing.
2. Appellant is absent Moreover, he has not made any submissions regarding the deficiencies.
3. Accordingly, the Commission observes that he is satisfied with the information/response filed by the respondent-Public Information Officer so no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the **case is disposed of and closed**. However, the liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within 10 days from the issue of this order in case of any further submissions.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 13.07.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Balwinder Singh, (9888947946)**

House No 42/2, Sector 41 A,  
Chandigarh.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Registrar Marriages, C/o DC,  
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,  
Jalandhar-I.

**Appeal Case No.988 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on	:	01-12-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	30-12-2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Balwinder Singh

Respondent: Ms. Manmeet Gupta (Reader to Naib Tehsildar Jalandhar-1),  
9814089400

**ORDER:**

1. This order may be read in the continuity of previous order dated 25.05.2021.
2. Respondent, Ms. Manmeet Gupta has made a written submission via email which is reproduced below:

“ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਹਵਾਲੇ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਆਪਜੀ ਦੇ ਹੁਕਮਾਂ ਮੁਤਾਬਕ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਬਲਵਿੰਦਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਮ: ਨੰ: 42/2 ਸੈਕਟਰ-41 ਏ ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ ਦੇ ਨਾਲ ਮਿਤੀ 14-07-21 ਨੂੰ ਮੋਬਾਇਲ ਤੇ ਗਲ ਬਾਤ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਅਤੇ ਉਸਨੂੰ ਦਸਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਕਿ ਮੈਰਿਜ ਰਜਿਸਟਰੇਸ਼ਨ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਲਈ ਰਜਿਸਟਰੇਸ਼ਨ ਨੰਬਰ ਹੋਣਾ ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਹੈ ਜਿਸਤੋਂ ਕਿ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀ ਮੁਹਈਆ ਹੋ ਸਕੇ। ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਨੂੰ ਹਦਾਇਤ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਕਿ ਉਹ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਕੰਮ ਕਾਜ ਵਾਲੇ ਦਿਨ ਦਫਤਰ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਆਕੇ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਦੀ ਭਾਲ ਕਰ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਮਿਲਣ ਉਪਰੰਤ ਉਸਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕ ਸੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀ ਅਪਲਾਈ ਕਰਕੇ ਲੈ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਪਰ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਵਲੋਂ ਆਪਣੀ ਸਹਿਮਤੀ ਜਤਾਈ ਗਈ ਤੇ ਕਿਹਾ ਗਿਆ ਕਿ ਮੇਰੀ ਅਪੀਲ ਜੇਕਰ ਦਾਖਲ ਦਫਤਰ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਤਾਂ ਮੈਨੂੰ ਕੋਈ ਇਤਰਾਜ਼ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ।”

3. Accordingly the case is disposed of and closed.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 13.07.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Ajit Singh Talwandi, (8968902044)**

Village Nikku Chak, Post Office Hajipur,  
Tehsil Mukherian, Distt Hoshiarpur-144221.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

O/o Secretary, School Education Department, Punjab,  
Mohali.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**

O/o Secretary, School Education Department, Punjab,  
Mohali.

**Appeal Case No.992 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on	:	28-11-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	30-12-2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Ajit Singh Talwandi  
Respondent: Sh. Satish Kumar (Supt.), 7696288490

**ORDER:**

1. This order may be read in the continuity of previous order dated 25.05.2021.
2. Respondent, Sh. Satish Kumar stated that complete information has been supplied to the appellant On dated 27.05.2021 and 17.06.2021. In addition to this an affidavit has also been filed by the respondent PIO in this regard.
3. The respondents have placed on record a copy of the information vide diary no. 1519 dated 12.07.201 so supplied to the appellant, which is taken on record.
4. Since, the complete information has already been supplied to the appellant as per the submissions made by him, therefore, the Commission opines that no further action is required in this case. Hence, the case **is disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 13.07.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Surjit Singh, (7589145805)**

S/o Sh. Dia Singh,  
Village Raowal, P.O Kadarwala, Distt Moga.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

.....Respondent

O/o cum Secretary,

The Raowal Multipurpose Cooperative Agriculture Service Sewa Sabha Ltd,  
Raowal, Distt Moga.

**Complaint Case No.218 of 2021**

**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on	:	26-11-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	31-12-2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Complainant: Sh. Surjit Singh  
Respondent: Absent

**ORDER:**

1. This order may be read in the continuity of previous order dated 31.05.2021.
2. **Written submission by respondent:** A letter dated vide 31.05.2021 diary no. 11702 is received in the commission therein the respondent PIO has mentioned that The Raowal Multipurpose Cooperative Agriculture Service Sewa Sabha Ltd. Moga is not a public authority within the meaning of section 2 (h) of RTI Act, 2005. So the society not being a public authority is not bound to supply the information.
3. Considering the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties, the judgments pronounced by the Honorable Supreme Court of India, the High Courts and the earlier decision on similar matter pronounced by the Commission, no further intervention of the Commission is warranted in the matter and the case is **disposed of**. For redressal of his grievance, the appellant is advised to approach an appropriate forum.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 13.07.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Surjit Singh, (7589145805)**

S/o Sh. Dia Singh,  
Village Raowal, P.O Kadarwala, Distt Moga.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**

.....Respondent

O/o cum Secretary,

The Raowal Multipurpose Cooperative Agriculture Service Sewa Sabha Ltd,  
Raowal, Distt Moga.

**Complaint Case No.219 of 2021**

**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on	:	26-11-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	31-12-2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Complainant: Sh. Surjit Singh  
Respondent: Absent

**ORDER:**

1. This order may be read in the continuity of previous order dated 31.05.2021.
2. **Written submission by respondent:** A letter dated vide 31.05.2021 diary no. 11702 is received in the commission therein the respondent PIO has mentioned that The Raowal Multipurpose Cooperative Agriculture Service Sewa Sabha Ltd. Moga is not a public authority within the meaning of section 2 (h) of RTI Act, 2005. So the society not being a public authority is not bound to supply the information.
3. Considering the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties, the judgments pronounced by the Honorable Supreme Court of India, the High Courts and the earlier decision on similar matter pronounced by the Commission, no further intervention of the Commission is warranted in the matter and the case is **disposed of**. For redressal of his grievance, the appellant is advised to approach an appropriate forum.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 13.07.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**